

Vulnerabilities in Continuous Delivery Pipelines? A Case Study

Christina Paule



Continuous Lifecycle Mannheim, 14. November 2019



Which vulnerabilities are present in CD pipelines & how can they be detected?





Terms



Based on K.-J. Farn, S.-K. Lin, A. R.-W. Fung. "A study on information security management system evaluation—assets, threat and vulnerability." In: Computer Standards & Interfaces 26.6 (2004), pp. 501–513







Setup

Pipeline A





Setup







Survey

88

- Setup:
 - Online-survey
 - 19 participants

Questions:

- Participants profile
- Security attributes
- Attacks

Results:

- Role of developers
- Deal with security matters
- **TOP 3**: Integrity, availability, confidentiality



Analysis Threat modeling approach



- 1. Decompose the application
- 2. Determine and rank threats
- 3. Determine countermeasures and mitigation



Analysis



14.11.2019



Paule: Vulnerabilities in CD Pipelines? A Case Study

Analysis Threat Analysis with STRIDE



14.11.2019

Spoofing Identity

Tampering with Data

Repudiation

Information Disclosure

Denial of Service

Elevation of Privilege

Analysis Threat Analysis with STRIDE







Analysis Threat risk assessment



Over all risk severity

	Impact			
Likelihood		Low	Medium	High
	Low	None	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Medium	High	Critical



Developer Tampering

STRIDE example

Analysis





14.11.2019

K

Analysis STRIDE example





14.11.2019



Occurrence

Threat

Effect

Vulnerability

1. Push

Commit arbitrary code; manipulate or remove pipeline scripts

Malicious code; no delivery

- None or few access restrictions
- No review of code changes
- No testing of pipeline scripts

Analysis Results



Focus on T, I, and D

No or insufficient access restrictions

Unencrypted connections

Use of vulnerable pipeline components or unsafe environments

Vulnerable pipeline configurations

Vulnerable code commits, pipeline scripts, docker images/containers, artifacts

No review of pipeline changes

Each team member with access rights











Paule: Vulnerabilities in CD Pipelines? A Case Study

Case Study Tools and Methods

- Manually
- VuIDB
- Owasp Dependency Check
- Vulnerability Checks in Pipeline Components



DEPENDENCY-CHECK





Case Study Results Pipeline A



HockeyApp

checkout source code

upload

artifacts

get artifacts

docker

pull

images

14.11.2019

push

images

9.

→**_**RUNDECK

build

atrifacts

ΝΟΥΛΤΕC

deployment to

cloud

deploy android app



Paule: Vulnerabilities in CD Pipelines? A Case Study

Case Study Results Pipeline B









Lessons Learned

-)_________-

- Security has not a high priority
- Findings improve security awareness
- Important factors: time, budget



Conclusion



14.11.2019



Paule: Vulnerabilities in CD Pipelines? A Case Study

22



Christina Paule Consultant Application Security Training for Developers by Jim Manico 4. – 5. Mai 2020

Novatec Consulting GmbH

Dieselstraße 18/1 D-70771 Leinfelden-Echterdingen

T. +49 711 22040-700 info@novatec-gmbh.de www.novatec-gmbh.de

Sources

- [1] <u>https://www.zdnet.de/88353687/kritische-luecke-in-docker-und-kubernetes-erlaubt-codeausfuehrung-auf-host-systemen/</u>
- [2] Elevation of Privilege (EoP) Threat Modeling Card Game <u>https://www.microsoft.com/en-</u> <u>us/download/details.aspx?id=20303</u>



